Falsifiable

When I observe debates between Christians and Atheists, I can see that there is no "proof" in many cases and that the goal is to provide evidence that points to the best conclusion. For instance, I can make the case for our universal sense of morality indicating a divine lawmaker, but an atheist will point to evolution as the source of morality. Neither position can be falsified. However, it is quite different in arguments regarding the Watchtower. This is because statements have been made, and positions taken, that are either true or false. Therefore they can be falsified. It seems to require a willful ignorance to overlook all the "deal breaker" arguments that have been put forth to show the WT wrong. In the past few weeks many arguments were put forth that have not been refuted. They appear to be valid. Consider a few: 1914 cannot be the date the Bible predicted. 607BC is not the date of the fall of Jerusalem. 1919 is not the year Jesus chose the Watchtower organization. It cannot be shown that the WT is God's organization. WT eschatology does not match the Scriptures. No evidence exists of a second chance for salvation after death, nor is there a 1000-year probationary period. Etc. It is possible to argue over some issues until hades freezes because they are not falsifiable but many issue can be shown to be wrong and every one of them is a "deal breaker" to someone who is searching for the truth. What about you?

John 3:3 Jesus answered and said to him, "Truly, truly, I say to you, unless one is born again he cannot see the kingdom of God."